1	LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL MARKS DANIEL MARKS, ESQ. Nevada State Bar No. 002003		
2			
3	ADAM LEVINE, ESQ. Nevada State Bar No. 004673		
	610 South Ninth Street		
4	Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 (702) 386-0536: FAX (702) 386-6812		
5	Attorneys for Defendants		
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
7	FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
8			
9	SUZANNE HARRIS, an individual Case No. 2:16-cv-00163-RFB-NJK		
10	Plaintiff,		
11	v.		
12	STEFAN STROMS, an individual, DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR LEAVE		
13	NICOLE WILSON, an individual, and JOHN DOES 1-5, individuals TO AMEND ANSWER TO COMPLAINT		
	whose true identities are unknown		
14	Defendant.		
15			
16	COMES NOW Defendants, Stefan Stroms and Nicole Wilson by and through their attorney of		
17	record, Adam Levine, Esq. of the Law Office of Daniel Marks, and submits their Motion for Leave to		
18	Amend Answer to Complaint.		
19	///		
20	///		
21	///		
22	///		
23	///		
24	///		

This Motion is based upon the Memorandum of points and Authorities attached hereto, the 1 2 pleadings and papers on file herein, and any arguments of counsel the Court may entertain. 3 DATED this 4th day of March, 2016. LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL MARKS 4 5 /s/ Adam Levine, Esq. DANIEL MARKS, ESO. 6 Nevada State Bar No. 002003 7 ADAM LEVINE, ESQ. Nevada State Bar No. 004673 610 South Ninth Street 8 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 9 Attorneys for Defendants 10 11 MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES STANDARDS GOVERNING MOTIONS FOR LEAVE TO AMEND 12 13 Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a) governs amendments of pleadings, and states: (a) AMENDMENTS BEFORE TRIAL. A party may amend its pleading once as a matter of course within: 21 days after serving it, or if the pleading is one to which a 14 responsive pleading is required, 21 days after service of a responsive pleading or 21 days after service of a motion under Rule 12(b), (e), or (f), whichever is earlier. In 15 all other cases, a party may amend its pleading only with the opposing party's written consent or the court's leave. The court should freely give leave when 16 justice so requires. 17 18 FED. R. CIV. P. 15(a) (Emphasis added). 19 On February 12, 2016 Defendants filed their Answer to Complaint in the above entitled action. 20 Since the filing a review of the Answer to Complaint was made and revealed at least eight (8) were 21 insufficient, contained typographical errors and/or erroneous responses in that Answer to Complaint. The proposed Amended Answer to Complaint is attached hereto as Exhibit "A". 22 23 It would be an injustice to deny the Defendants the opportunity to correct any insufficiency, typographical or erroneous answer. 24

PROCEEDINGS TO DATE 1 2 This case is just beginning and the only documents filed have been the Complaint and Answer to Complaint. 3 4 The should be no delay in the proceedings as the Early Case Conference pursuant to FRCP 5 26(f) has not taken place as of the date of this Motion. **CONCLUSION** 6 7 Based upon the foregoing, the Defendants respectfully request that the Court grant them leave 8 to Amend their Answer to Complaint. 9 DATED this 3rd day of March, 2016. 10 LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL MARKS 11 /s/ Adam Levine, Esq. DANIEL MARKS, ESQ. 12 Nevada State Bar No. 002003 13 ADAM LEVINE, ESQ. Nevada State Bar No. 004673 14 610 South Ninth Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 15 Attorneys for Defendants **ORDER** 16 The Court has reviewed [9] Motion for Leave to Amend Answer to Complaint. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a)(1)(A), a party may amend its pleading once as a matter of course within 21 days after 17 serving it. Defendants sought leave to amend their answer within 21 days after serving it. 18 Therefore, IT IS ORDERED that [9] Motion for Leave to Amend Answer is GRANTED. Defendants shall have until March 15, 2016 to file their Amended Answer. 19 IT IS SO ORDERED: 20 21 RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II 22 United States District Judge DATED this 8th day of March, 2016. 23 24

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE BY ELECTRONIC MEANS AND MAILING 1 2 I hereby certify that I am an employee of the Law Office of Daniel Marks and that on the 3rd day of March, 2016, I did serve by way of court mandated electronic filing and did deposit in the 3 4 United States Post Office at Las Vegas, Nevada with first class postage fully prepaid thereon a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND 5 ANSWER TO COMPLAINT to the email addresses and address as follows: 6 7 J. Malcolm DeVoy, Esq. **DEVOY LAW** 8 200 E. Charleston Blvd. Las Vegas, Nevada 89104 Email: ecf@devoylaw.com 9 imd@devoylaw.com Attorney for Plaintiff 10 11 12 /s/ Glenda Guo, Paralegal An employee of the 13 LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL MARKS 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

EXHIBIT "A"

1	1 LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL MARKS			
2	DANIEL MARKS, ESQ.			
3	Nevada State Bar No. 002003 ADAM LEVINE, ESQ. Nevada State Bar No. 004673			
3				
4	610 South Ninth Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101			
5	5 (702) 386-0536: FAX (702) 386-6812			
6	Attorneys for Defendants			
	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
7	FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA			
8	8			
9		N. 2.16 00162 PED NW		
10	<u>'</u>	No. 2:16-cv-00163-RFB-NJK		
	Plaintiff,			
11	$\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ v \end{vmatrix}$.			
12		ENDED WER TO COMPLAINT		
13	NICOLE WILSON, an individual,	WER TO COME EMILL		
14	and JOHN DOES 1-5, individuals whose true identities are unknown JUR	Y TRIAL DEMANDED		
15	Defendant.			
16	COMES NOW Defendants Stefan Stroms and N	COMES NOW Defendants, Stefan Stroms and Nicole Wilson by and through their attorney of		
17	7	·		
18	1	record, Adam Levine, Esq. of the Law Office of Daniel Marks, and for their Amended Answer to the		
	Complaint admit, deny, and respond as follows: Amende	Complaint admit, deny, and respond as follows: <i>Amended Answers are in bold</i> .		
19	1. In answering Paragraphs 9, 10, 11, 14, 15	, 20, 23, 25-28, 30, 33-34, 38, 43, 46, 50, 59,		
20	20 64 and 80-82 of Plaintiff's Complaint Defendants admit 6	each and every allegation contained therein		
21	21	64 and 80-82 of Plaintiff's Complaint Defendants admit each and every allegation contained therein		
22		2. In answering Paragraphs 1-3, 6, 12, -13, 16-17, 29, 31-32, 35, 39- 41 , 44-45, 47-49, 51-		
	56, 60-62 , 65-66, 69-77, 79 , 83, 91-97, 99-105, 107-114,	56, 60-62 , 65-66, 69-77, 79 , 83, 91-97, 99-105, 107-114, 116-123, 125-130, and 132-138 of Plaintiff's		
23	Complaint Defendants deny each and every allegation co.	ntained therein.		
24	24			

1

- 3
- 5
- 7
- 9 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16

17

- 18
- 19
- 2021
- 22
- 23

24

- 3. Paragraphs 4-7 Plaintiff's Complaint are inapplicable as the Defendants filed a Petition for Removal of Action to Federal Court Under 28 U.S.C. §1442(a) on January 28, 2016.
- 4. In answering Paragraphs 8, 18-19, 21-22, 24, 36, 42, 57-58, 63, 68, and 84-89 of Plaintiff's Complaint Defendants are without sufficient knowledge and/or information to admit or deny and therefore deny each allegation contained therein.
- 5. In answering Paragraph 37, Defendants admit that Stroms was requested to leave the interview but deny that that request was repeatedly made.
- 6. In answering Paragraph 67, Defendants admit that Stroms' email of February 5, 2015 contained the phrase "could not come at a worst time" but deny that the incident with **Harris** was fabricated.
- 7. In answering Paragraph 78, Defendants admit that Stroms filed a police report on February 6, 2015 with Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department but deny that the police report contained false statements about Harris.
- 8. In answering Paragraphs 90, 98, 106, 115, 124 and 131 of Plaintiff's Complaint, this paragraphs do not contain any allegation to admit or deny.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

- 1. The Complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.
- 2. Any statements made by Defendants which form the basis for the claims were true.
- 3. Any statements made by Defendants which form the basis for the claims were privileged.
- 4. Any statements made by Defendant which form the basis for the claims are with regard to a public figure and/or a matter of public concern so as to be subject to the actual malice standard.
 - 5. The Complaint is barred by laches.

Case 2:16-cv-00163-RFB-NJK Document 10 Filed 03/08/16 Page 8 of 9

	1		
1	6. The Complaint is barred by the doctrine of unclean hands.		
2	7. The Complaint is barred by estoppel.		
3	8. The Complaint is barred by fraud.		
4	9. The Complaint is barred by waiver.		
5	10. The Complaint is a strategic lawsuit against public participation.		
6	WHEREFORE, Defendants Stefan Stroms and Nicole Wilson pray for judgment as follows:		
7	1. That Plaintiff take nothing by virtue of her Complaint on file herein;		
8	2. That the Court dismiss all causes of action included in Plaintiff's Complaint against the		
9	Defendants with prejudice;		
10	3. For an award of reasonable attorney fees and costs of suit incurred in the defense of the		
11	causes of action included in Plaintiff's Complaint; and		
12	4. For such other and further relief as the Court deems.		
13	DATED this day of March, 2016.		
14	LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL MARKS		
15			
16	DANIEL MARKS, ESQ.		
17	Nevada State Bar No. 002003 ADAM LEVINE, ESQ. Nevada State Bar No. 004673		
18	610 South Ninth Street		
19	Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Attorneys for Defendants		
20			
21			
22			
23			

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE BY ELECTRONIC MEANS AND MAILING I hereby certify that I am an employee of the Law Office of Daniel Marks and that on the _____ day of March, 2016, I did serve by way of court mandated electronic filing and did deposit in the United States Post Office at Las Vegas, Nevada with first class postage fully prepaid thereon a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing AMENDED ANSWER TO COMPLAINT to the email addresses and address as follows: J. Malcolm DeVoy, Esq. **DEVOY LAW** 200 E. Charleston Blvd. Las Vegas, Nevada 89104 Email: ecf@devoylaw.com imd@devoylaw.com Attorney for Plaintiff An employee of the LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL MARKS